## DAMOP11-2011-000286

Abstract for an Invited Paper for the DAMOP11 Meeting of the American Physical Society

## **Positron binding to molecules**<sup>1</sup> J.R. DANIELSON, University of California, San Diego

While there is theoretical evidence that positrons can bind to atoms,<sup>2</sup> calculations for molecules are much less precise.<sup>3</sup> Unfortunately, there have been no measurements of positron-atom binding, due primarily to the difficulty in forming positronatom bound states in two-body collisions. In contrast, positrons attach to molecules via Feshbach resonances (VFR) in which a vibrational mode absorbs the excess energy. Using a high-resolution positron beam, this VFR process has been studied to measure binding energies for more than 40 molecules. New measurements will be described in two areas: positron binding to relatively simple molecules, for which theoretical calculations appear to be possible;<sup>4</sup> and positron binding to molecules with large permanent dipole moments, which can be compared to analogous, weakly bound electron-molecule (negative-ion) states. Binding energies range from 75 meV for CS<sub>2</sub> (no dipole moment) to 180 meV for acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN). Other species studied include aldehydes and ketones, which have permanent dipole moments in the range 2.5 - 3.0 debye. The measured binding energies are surprisingly large (by a factor of 10 to 100) compared to those for the analogous negative ions,<sup>5</sup> and these differences will be discussed. New theoretical calculations for positron-molecule binding are in progress, and a recent result for acetonitrile will be discussed.<sup>6</sup> This ability to compare theory and experiment represents a significant step in attempts to understand positron binding to matter.

<sup>1</sup>In collaboration with A. C. L. Jones, J. J. Gosselin, and C. M. Surko, and supported by NSF grant PHY 07-55809.

<sup>2</sup>Mitroy, et. al., J. Phys. B **35**, R81 (2002).

<sup>3</sup>Strasburger, J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 615 (2001).

<sup>4</sup>Danielson, et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **104**, 233201 (2010).

<sup>5</sup>Hammer, et. al., J. Chem. Phys. 119, 3650 (2003).

<sup>6</sup>Tachikawa, et. al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **13**, 2701 (2011).