DPP14-2014-000506

Abstract for an Invited Paper for the DPP14 Meeting of the American Physical Society

Fast Ion Transport Studies in DIII-D High β_N Steady-State Scenarios¹

C.T. HOLCOMB, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

DIII-D research is identifying paths to optimize energetic particle (EP) transport in high β_N steady-state tokamak scenarios. Operation with $q_{min} > 2$ is predicted to achieve high β_N , confinement, and bootstrap fraction. However DIII-D experiments have shown that Alfvén eigenmodes (AE) and correlated EP transport can limit the performance of some $q_{min} > 2$ plasmas. Enhanced EP transport occurs in plasmas with $q_{min} = 2 \cdot 2.5$, $q_{95} = 5 \cdot 7$, and relatively long slowing down time. Strong AEs are present, the confinement factor $H_{89} = 1.6 \cdot 1.8$ and β_N is limited to ~ 3 by the available power. These observations are consistent with EP transport models having a critical gradient in β_f . However, adjusting the parameters can recover classical EP confinement or improve thermal confinement so that $H_{89} > 2$. One example is a scenario with β_P and $\beta_N \approx 3.2$, $q_{min} > 3$ and $q_{95} \approx 11$ developed to test control of long pulse, high heat flux operation on devices like EAST. This has an internal transport barrier at $\rho \approx 0.7$, bootstrap fraction >75%, density limit fraction ≈ 1 , and $H_{89} \geq 2$. In these cases AE activity and EP transport is very dynamic - it varies between classical and anomalous from shot to shot and within shots. Thus these plasmas are close to a threshold for enhanced EP transport. This may be governed by a combination of a relatively low $\nabla \beta_{fast}$ due to good thermal confinement and lower beam power, short slowing down time, and possibly changes to the *q*-profile. Another example is scenarios with $q_{min} \approx 1.1$. These typically have classical EP confinement and good thermal confinement. Thus by using its flexible parameters and profile control tools DIII-D is comparing a wide range of steady-state scenarios to identify the key physics setting EP transport

¹Work supported by the US Department of Energy under DE-AC52-07NA27344, SC-G903402, DE-FC02-04ER54698, and DE-AC02-09CH11466.