Gyrokinetic analysis of pedestal transport\textsuperscript{1} MIKE KOTSCHEN-REUTHER, X LIU, DR HATCH, LJ ZHENG, S MAHAJAN, Univ of Texas, Austin, A DIALLO, PPPL, RJ GROEBNER, GA, AE HUBBARD, JW HUGHES, MIT, CF MAGGI, S SAARELMA, JET CONTRIBUTORS\textsuperscript{2}, CCFE — Surprisingly, basic considerations can determine which modes are responsible for pedestal energy transport (e.g., KBM, ETG, ITG, MTM etc.). Gyrokinetic simulations of experiments, and analysis of the Gyrokinetic-Maxwell equations, find that each mode type produces characteristic ratios of transport in the various channels: density, heat and impurities. This, together with the relative size of the driving sources of each channel, can strongly constrain or determine the dominant modes causing energy transport. MHD-like modes are not the dominant agent of energy transport - when the density source is weak as is often expected. Drift modes must fill this role. Detailed examination of experimental observations, including frequency and transport channel behavior, with simulations, demonstrates these points. Also see related posters by X. Liu, D.R. Hatch, and A. Blackmon.
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