\[
P =\neq NP \quad \text{Category-Semantics (C-S) TRIVIAL Proof: EUCLID!!!} \quad \text{[(So Miscalled) Computational-Complexity (CC) Jargonial-Obfuscation (J-O); (Which???) MillenniumED-ProblemED (M-P): NO CC, CS; Feet of Clay!!!]} \quad \text{EDWARD CARL-LUDWIG SIEGEL, FUZZYICS=CATEGORYICS (SON OF TRIZ)/La Jolla/Las Vegas — P =\neq NP M-P proof is by C-S J-O elimination!} \quad \text{C-S P =\(?)= NP \ \text{MEANS (Deterministic).}(P-C) =\(?)= (\text{NON-Deterministic}).(P-C) = (NP).} \quad \text{C-S P =\(?)= NP \ \text{MEANS (Deterministic).}(P-C) =\(?)= (\text{NON-Deterministic}).(P-C) \ \text{i.e. D.}(P) =\(?)= N.}(P). \ \text{For inclusion(equality) vs. EXclusion(INequality), IRrelevant(P) simply cancels! (Equally any other CC IF both sides identical). Crucial question left (D) =\(?)= (N-D), i.e. D =\(?)= N. Algorithmics: Deterministic (D) serial vs. NON-deterministic (N) NON-serial, branch fork forms a triangle, its vertices a plane. Menger Dimension-Theory: Dimensionality: D serial is one-dimensional, dim(D) = 1 (definition), VS. dim(N = NON-serial) =\(?)= one-dimensional; dim(N) = [2(branching; fork; triangle; plane) + E(probabilistic)] > 2 [Sipser [Intro. Thy. Comp. (1997)-p. 49; Fig. 1.15!!]}. \quad \text{Hence (Euclid\([-350 \text{ BCE}]) simple formative geometry, dim(D) = 1 =\(?)= dim(N) = [2(branching) + E(probabilistic)] > 2, Left-to-Right INclusion VS. Right-to-Left EXclusion. Hence P =\(?)= NP!!! QED, i.e. D =\(?)= N, i.e. dim(D) = 1 =\(?)= dim(N) > 2 by first millennium BCE, before CS J-O of CC!!! Harder doable C-S J-O analysis proofs: any combinations of DIS-similar CCs: LHS and D with low CC and/or RHS and N-D = N with high CC!}}\]
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