

Abstract Submitted  
for the MAR12 Meeting of  
The American Physical Society

**External vs. “internal” pressure effect on the anti-ferromagnetic superexchange energy,  $J$ , in  $\text{LnBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$  (Ln=La,Nd,...,Lu)** BEN MALLET, The MacDiarmid Institute, Victoria University of Wellington, JEFFERY TALLON, The MacDiarmid Institute, Industrial Research Limited, GRANT WILLIAMS, The MacDiarmid Institute, Victoria University of Wellington, THOMAS WOLF, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Solid State Physics — What causes the difference between the effect of “internal” pressure, as caused by ionic substitution, and external pressure on  $T_c^{max}$  in the cuprates [1]? Is it the density of states, the pairing boson energy scale ( $\omega_B$ ), condensation energy (which governs fluctuations), or ...? Many models of high temperature superconductivity put the energy scale of  $\omega_B$  as the anti-ferromagnetic super-exchange energy,  $J$ , between adjacent Cu(2) ions in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane. We therefore investigated Raman  $B_{1g}$  two-magnon scattering in high quality  $\text{LnBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$  (Ln123) single crystals, Ln(=La, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Yb, Lu), at ambient pressure to determine the effect of internal pressure on  $J$ . Comparing with measurements of  $J$  under external pressure reveals that internal and external pressure have *quantitatively* the same effect on  $J$ . However, and most surprisingly, we find an anticorrelation between  $J$  and  $T_c^{max}$  when ion size or internal pressure is the implicit variable. Given the opposite effects of internal and external pressure on  $T_c^{max}$ , this result suggests that some energy scale other than short range anti-ferromagnetic interactions has a more dominant effect on  $T_c^{max}$ .

[1] e.g. M. Marezio, Physica C, 341-348, 375 (2000)

Ben Mallett  
The MacDiarmid Institute, Victoria University of Wellington

Date submitted: 09 Nov 2011

Electronic form version 1.4