## Abstract Submitted for the MAR14 Meeting of The American Physical Society

Optical spectroscopic analysis of Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub> and comparison with  $\mathbf{Sr}_{2}\mathbf{RuO}_{4}^{1}$  KIM MORTIMER, NATHAN ARMSTRONG, JESSE HALL, THOMAS TIMUSK, McMaster Univ — Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub> is a strongly correlated electron oxide, similar to the unconventional superconductor Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>. Changing Ru to Rh destroys superconductivity and skews the crystal structure without eliminating  $T^2$  resistivity [1,2]. Using optical floating zone furnace techniques we grew single crystals of Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub>. We then performed spectroscopic measurements of the material at frequencies ranging from 4 meV to 1.2 eV and temperatures from 12 K to 300 K. We compare these results with those from single crystals of Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>, grown by the author at Kyoto University and displaying <10% 3K phase, which were measured concurrently with  $Sr_2RhO_4$  on the same apparatus. The optical resistivity  $\rho(\omega,T)$  of both of these materials are then compared to the predictions of Landau-Fermi liquid theory – in particular, the ratio between the temperature dependence and frequency dependence of resistivity, which yields insight into electron scattering mechanisms. [3] 1. Hase & Nishihara, doi: 10.1143/JPSJ.65.3957 2. Nagai et al, doi: 10.1143/JPSJ.79.114719 3. Maslov & Chubukov, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.86.155137

<sup>1</sup>Work done with the support of NSERC, BIEP from Kyoto University, and in collaboration with K. Nishimura, Dr. G. Eguchi, Dr. S. Yonezawa, & Dr. Y. Maeno of Kyoto University, & C. Marjerrison, Dr. A. Dabkowski and Dr. H. Dabkowska of McMaster University.

Kim Mortimer McMaster Univ

Date submitted: 01 Jun 2021 Electronic form version 1.4