

MAR15-2014-005375

Abstract for an Invited Paper
for the MAR15 Meeting of
the American Physical Society

Towards a unified description of ground and excited state properties: GW vs RPA and beyond

PATRICK RINKE, Aalto University, School of Science, 00076 Aalto, Finland

In the quest for finding an “optimal” first principles electronic structure method, that combines accuracy and tractability with transferability across different chemical environments and dimensionalities (e.g. molecules, wires/tubes, surfaces, solids), the treatment of exchange and correlation in terms of “exact-exchange plus correlation in the random-phase approximation (EX+cRPA)” offers a promising avenue. Likewise one can express the same level of theory in the Green’s function context through the GW approximation, which has the additional advantage that quasiparticle spectra as measured by direct and inverse photoemission become accessible. In this talk I will contrast both approaches and present the latest results from our continuous assessment. We find that self-consistent (sc) GW provides excellent charge densities [1], which is particularly important for charge transfer systems [2]. Spectral properties for closed shell molecules are generally in good agreement with photoemission spectra, although a judicious choice of the starting point in perturbative G_0W_0 calculations can outperform scGW [1,3]. Other ground state properties do not improve over EX+cRPA calculations [1]. EX+cRPA, on the other hand, provides a good description of the ground state [4] even for challenging cases like chemical reaction barrier heights [5] and the f -electron metal cerium [6]. The notorious underbinding of EX+cRPA can be corrected by going beyond RPA to renormalised second order perturbation theory (rPT2) [7] that gives the overall most balanced performance. I will also discuss the associated rPT2 self-energy that goes beyond GW .

[1] F. Caruso, P. Rinke, X. Ren, M. Scheffler, and A. Rubio, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 081102(R) (2012), *ibid* Phys. Rev. B **88**, 075105 (2013).

[2] F. Caruso, V. Atalla, A. Rubio, M. Scheffler, and P. Rinke, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 085141 (2014).

[3] N. Marom, F. Caruso, X. Ren, O. Hofmann, T. Körzdörfer, J. R. Chelikowsky, A. Rubio, M. Scheffler, and P. Rinke, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 245127 (2012).

[4] X. Ren, P. Rinke, C. Joas, and M. Scheffler, J. Mat. Sci. **47**, 7447 (2012).

[5] J. Paier, X. Ren, P. Rinke, G. E. Scuseria, A. Grüneis, G. Kresse, and M. Scheffler, New J. Phys. **14**, 043002 (2012).

[6] M. Casadei, X. Ren, P. Rinke, A. Rubio, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 14642 (2012).

[7] X. Ren, P. Rinke, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 035120 (2013)