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P\neq NP Millenium-Problem(MP) TRIVIAL Physics Proof Via
NATURAL TRUMPS Artificial-“Intelligence” Via: Euclid Geometry,
Plato Forms, Aristotle Square-of-Opposition, Menger Dimension-Theory
Connections!!! NO Computational-Complexity(CC)/ANYthing!!!: Ge-
ometry!!! LONDON CLAY, KARL MENDER, GIAN-CARLO ROTA, ALEXAN-
DRIA EUCLID, EDWARD SIEGEL, FUZZYICS — P \neq NP MP proof is by
computer-”science”/SEANCE(!!!)(CS) computational-”intelligence” lingo jargonial-
obfuscation(JO) NATURAL-Intelligence(NI) DISambiguation! CS P=(?)=NP
MEANS (Deterministic)•(PC)= (??)=(Non-D)•(PC) i.e. D•(P)=(??)= N•(P).
For
inclusion(equality) vs. exclusion (inequality) irrelevant (P) simply cancels!!!
(Equally any/all other CCs IF both sides identical). Crucial question left:
(D)=?(??)=(ND), i.e. D =?(??)= N. Algorithmics[Sipser[Intro. Thy.Comp.(‘97-
p.49;Fig.1.15!!!] Deterministic (D) serial VS. Non-deterministic (N) NON-
serial, branch fork forms triangles, its vertices a plane. Menger Dimen-
sion-Theory: Dimensionality: D serial is dim(D)=1(definition), VS. N non-serial is
dim(N)=[2(branching;fork;triangle;plane)+E(probabilistic)]>2(>>)=1!
Hence by
(Euclid[~300 BCE]) simple geometry, dim(D)=1 \neq dim(N)>2, Left-to-Right INclu-
sion VS. Right-to-Left EXclusion. Thus TRIVIALLY P \neq NP!!! QED FIN, i.e.
D\neq N by ~300 BCE GEOMETRY, just “a tad” before CS CC JO!!! Harder proofs,
but still amenable to NI analysis, are any combinations with DISsimilar CCs, espe-
cially LHS combining D with low CC and/or RHS combining N with different CCs:
EXP and/or LOG! MUCH HARDER but still amenable to CC NI are: CROSS-
MIXTURES: P=(?)=N•LOG, EXP=(?)=N•P, EXP=(?)=N•LOG,...