

Abstract for an Invited Paper
for the DAMOP11 Meeting of
The American Physical Society

The size of the proton

RANDOLF POHL, Max-Planck-Institute of Quantum Optics, Garching, Germany

A measurement of the Lamb shift (2S–2P energy difference) in muonic hydrogen (μp , the exotic hydrogen atom made from a proton and a negative muon μ^-) has been on the physicists' wish list for more than 40 years. Due to its 200 times larger mass, the muon's Bohr radius in μp is only 1/200 of the electron's Bohr radius in regular hydrogen (H). This enhances finite size effects by about 200^3 in μp , compared to H. The proton's finite size r_p affects the 2S Lamb shift in μp by as much as 2%, making μp a unique, clean, atomic system to study r_p using laser spectroscopy. We have recently observed the first transitions in muonic hydrogen [1] and muonic deuterium [2]. The $2S_{1/2}^{F=1}$ to $2P_{3/2}^{F=2}$ transition in μp was found at 49881.88(76) GHz [1]. Even with this - by laser spectroscopy standards - very moderate accuracy of 760 MHz (4% of the natural line width) we can deduce r_p 10 times more accurately than the CODATA world average [3]. We obtain $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm [1]. The accuracy of r_p is limited by the uncertainty of the proton polarizability which enters the theory relating the measured frequency to r_p . We have also measured a second transition in μp ($2S_{1/2}^{F=0}$ to $2P_{3/2}^{F=1}$) [2]. It confirms our value [1] of r_p , and provides the first determination of the 2S hyperfine splitting (HFS) in μp . The HFS reveals the Zemach radius, i.e. the radius of the magnetization distribution inside the proton. Now there is a "proton size puzzle." We found the resonance [1] 75 GHz (i.e. 4 natural line widths) away from the expected position. Our r_p is 10 times more accurate, but 4% (5σ) smaller than the CODATA value [3]. There are still surprises in physics.

[1] R. Pohl et al. (CREMA collaboration), Nature 466, 213 (July 2010).

[2] CREMA collaboration, to be published.

[3] P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor and D.B. Newell, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 633 (2008).